Millburn CCSD 24 Old Mill Creek, ILLINOIS 60083 Jason Lind Email - jlind@millburn24.net (847) 356-8331



EBF District Funding Tier - 2 Financial capacity to meet expectations - 82.0 % State Senate District - 31 State Representative District - 061

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, the Illinois State Board of Education has updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

# STUDENTS

STUDENT	ENROLLMEN	Т										
						Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low	
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless
District	1,194	891	22	106	117	*	*	57	172	69	165	*
		74.6%	1.8%	8.9%	9.8%	*	*	4.8%	14.4%	5.8%	13.8%	*
State	2,001,529	961,211	336,956	523,950	101,548	2,091	5,591	70,182	289,903	233,348	988,686	39,266
		48.0%	16.8%	26.2%	5.1%	0.1%	0.3%	3.5%	14.5%	11.7%	49.4%	2.0%

Student Enrollment is based on Serving School.

Students With IEPs are those eligible to receive special education services. English Learners are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Low Income are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

Homeless students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

CHRONIC	ABSENTEEISI	M RATE									
						Native		-	• • •		
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	7.9%	6.3%	35.3%	8.9%	10.4%	*	*	15.1%	10.0%	27.1%	16.6%
State	16.8%	13.2%	27.4%	17.6%	8.5%	14.4%	33.1%	18.2%	25.2%	14.6%	23.3%

STUDENT	MOBILITY RA	TE											
									American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	4.7%	5.5%	3.8%	3.4%	13.6%	8.8%	6.6%	*	*	8.5%	9.1%	4.8%	11.4%
State	6.9%	7.3%	6.4%	4.6%	13.5%	6.7%	6.4%	9.2%	10.8%	8.0%	10.1%	9.3%	10.0%

# INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

TOTAL S	CHOOL DAYS		H GRADERS
Num	PASSIN	G ALGEBRA I	
District	176	District	48.6%
State	175	State	30.6%

STUDENT	-TO-STAFF RATI	OS			HE	ALTH
	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator	WEL	AND LLNESS per week)
District	17.9	*	8.5	170.6	District	5.0
State	19.0	19.3	11.1	180.6	State	3.0

AVERAGE	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District	25.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	21.0	28.0	24.0	24.0	29.0	*	23.0
State	19.0	19.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0

TIME DEV	TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)											
	Μ	athematic	s	Science			Englis	h/Languag	je Arts	So	cial Scien	ce
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
District	75	80	80	23	40	40	120	80	80	23	40	40
State	73	60	57	35	48	51	129	88	77	30	48	50


TEACHER	R INFORMATIO	N (Full-Tim	e Equivaler	nts)							
	Total							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	
	Number	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Unknown
District	87	12.9	87.1	97.6	*	2.4	*	*	*	*	*
State	128,999	23.3	76.7	83.2	5.8	6.2	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.8	2.3


TEACHER	INFORMATION (Continued)					
		Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above	% of Novice Teachers	% of Teachers With Short-Term or Provisional License
District	All Schools	16.1	25.3%	74.7%	*	*
	High Poverty Schools	*	*	*	*	*
	Low Poverty Schools	16.1	25.3%	74.7%	*	0.0%
State	All Schools	13.2	38.5%	61.0%	*	*
	High Poverty Schools	12.1	40.6%	58.4%	0.1%	0.5%
	Low Poverty Schools	13.9	30.7%	69.1%	0.0%	0.0%

TEACHER RETENTION RATE TEACHER ATTENDANCE RATE			TEA	TEACHER EVALUATION RATE			PRINCIPAL TURNOVER (C		
District	93.2%	District	74.1%	Dist	trict	100.0%		District	2.0
State	85.2%	State	70.2%	Stat	te	97.1%		State	2.0

## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

#### AVERAGE TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES





<b>REVENUE BY SOURCE 2016-1</b>	7			EXPENDITURE BY FUND 201	6-17		
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$13,610,901	68.6%	63.1%	Education	\$11,743,574	62.4%	71.6%
				<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$1,374,124	7.3%	7.1%
Other Local Funding	\$1,490,294	7.5%	5.0%	Transportation	\$960,271	5.1%	3.8%
_				Debt Service	\$2,966,675	15.8%	9.5%
General State Aid	\$3,040,380	15.3%	17.6%	Tort	\$167,685	0.9%	1.2%
				Municipal Retirement/			
Other State Funding	\$1,471,146	7.4%	6.8%	Social Security	\$537,624	2.9%	2.1%
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$7,423	0.0%	0.7%
Federal Funding	\$229,730	1.2%	7.5%	Capital Projects	\$1,070,577	5.7%	4.0%
TOTAL	\$19,842,451			TOTAL	\$18,827,953		

#### OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS

	2015 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2015 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2016-17 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2016-17 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$191,629	6.31	\$7,332	\$13,096
State	**	**	\$8,024	\$13,337

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

ELA PRO	FICIENCY												
	All							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	433	182	251	324	2	29	58	*	*	19	14	8	35
	51.5%	41.5%	62.6%	52.3%	18.2%	36.3%	67.4%	*	*	45.2%	12.1%	19.0%	31.3%
State	373,532	160,882	212,650	227,977	29,576	67,203	33,470	463	833	14,010	12,783	11,564	115,196
	36.7%	30.9%	42.8%	46.8%	17.4%	24.8%	65.6%	44.7%	29.2%	40.0%	8.9%	10.9%	22.1%

MATH PR	OFICIENCY												
	All	Mala	Female	White	Diesk	Uispenia			American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	445	223	222	338	2	23	58	*	*	23	12	8	36
	52.9%	50.8%	55.2%	54.3%	18.2%	29.1%	67.4%	*	*	54.8%	10.4%	19.5%	32.4%
State	321,607	163,519	158,088	201,422	19,256	53,675	34,370	435	682	11,767	11,241	12,270	88,476
	31.5%	31.3%	31.7%	41.3%	11.4%	19.7%	66.0%	41.4%	23.6%	33.6%	7.9%	11.1%	16.9%

ISA PROF	ICIENCY												
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	239	113	126	183	*	16	27	*	*	10	17	*	16
	77.3%	73.9%	80.8%	78.9%	*	66.7%	77.1%	*	*	76.9%	45.9%	*	50.0%
State	215,229	106,179	109,050	129,557	17,623	43,209	16,338	269	503	7,730	10,024	4,347	72,825
	50.5%	48.9%	52.0%	62.2%	25.3%	38.0%	73.9%	59.5%	43.1%	54.7%	18.3%	13.4%	34.9%

MEAN EL/	A GROWTH PE	ERCENTIL	E										
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	54.8	54.5	55.1	54.8	46.8	51.6	60.5	*	28.0	51.6	45.8	53.1	55.7
State	50.0	47.5	52.5	51.8	44.5	48.7	57.4	52.4	49.8	50.0	43.5	48.1	47.5

MEAN MA	TH GROWTH	PERCENT	ILE										
								Native					
	All							Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	58.5	57.4	59.6	58.4	40.1	57.4	61.5	*	78.0	59.2	47.7	57.2	55.7
State	50.0	49.0	51.0	51.6	44.5	48.9	58.0	50.3	48.5	49.6	44.0	47.6	47.5

#### 34-049-0240-04-0000

EL Profic	iency on ACCE	SS				
	# ELS	# Tested	# Proficient	% Proficient	# Long Term EL	% Long Term EL
District	59	57	7	12.3%	*	*
State	210,124	207,307	18,810	9.1%	24,957	11.9%

ELA Parti	cipation												
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	840	439	401	620	11	80	86	*	*	42	116	42	112
	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	97.6%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.3%	97.7%	100.0%
State	1,017,260	520,123	497,137	486,626	169,503	271,186	51,039	1,036	2,854	35,016	142,919	106,440	521,722
	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%	98.3%	97.0%	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.8%	96.9%	98.7%	98.0%

Math Part	icipation												
									American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	841	439	402	622	11	79	86	*	*	42	115	41	111
	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	97.5%	95.3%	99.1%
State	1,020,683	521,922	498,761	487,140	169,521	272,992	52,057	1,051	2,885	35,037	142,911	110,540	523,904
	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.2%	96.9%	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.7%	96.9%	98.6%	98.0%

ISA Partic	ipation							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	309	153	156	232	*	24	35	*	*	13	37	*	32
	98.7%	100.0%	97.5%	98.7%	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%
State	426,608	217,043	209,565	208,207	66,876	113,680	22,099	452	1,165	14,129	52,325	32,340	206,136
	95.2%	94.9%	95.6%	96.5%	91.1%	95.3%	96.6%	95.6%	94.8%	95.1%	90.6%	94.4%	93.7%

SAT ELA	Participation												
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

SAT Math	Participation												
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Students		
									American	More	With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

DLM-AA E	ELA Participatio	on											
								Native Hawaiian	A	Two or	Students	Faallah	1
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	American Indian	More Races	With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	26	19	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	26	*	10
	96.3%	100.0%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	96.3%	*	100.0%
State	11,437	7,546	3,891	4,943	2,595	2,975	519	11	36	358	11,433	2,393	7,166
	95.9%	95.9%	95.9%	96.5%	93.8%	96.7%	96.6%	84.6%	100.0%	95.5%	95.9%	97.0%	95.6%

DLM-AA N	DLM-AA Math Participation														
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income		
District	25	18	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	25	*	*		
	92.6%	94.7%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92.6%	*	*		
State	11,444	7,555	3,889	4,938	2,590	2,981	526	12	36	361	11,440	2,417	7,172		
	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%	96.3%	93.6%	96.5%	96.3%	85.7%	100.0%	96.0%	95.7%	96.9%	95.4%		

PARCC E	PARCC ELA Participation														
	All Mala Famala White Plack Hispania Asian							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income		
District	814	420	394	602	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102		
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%		
State	862,483	440,454	422,029	409,367	143,886	232,143	43,237	870	2,467	30,513	115,366	97,779	451,706		
	98.1%	98.2%	98.0%	98.2%	97.4%	98.4%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.9%	97.2%	98.9%	98.3%		
													1		

PARCC M	ath Participation	on											
	H All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian								American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	816	421	395	604	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%
State	865,899	442,244	423,655	409,886	143,909	233,943	44,248	884	2,498	30,531	115,351	101,855	453,882
	98.1%	98.1%	98.0%	98.2%	97.3%	98.3%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.8%	97.1%	98.8%	98.2%

## Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for PARCC assessments:

- •• Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- •• Level 2: Partially met expectations
- •• Level 3: Approached expectations
- •• Level 4: Met expectations
- •• Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (ELA and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

## Grade 3 - All

			ELA				Μ	athematic	cs	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
District	4.1%	14.0%	23.1%	48.8%	9.9%	3.3%	15.7%	23.1%	41.3%	16.5%
State	21.4%	17.8%	23.7%	33.6%	3.4%	14.5%	21.9%	25.8%	29.5%	8.2%

#### Grade 3 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	CS	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District	5.3%	17.5%	21.1%	50.9%	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	24.6%	45.6%	14.0%
	State	24.6%	18.9%	23.8%	30.4%	2.3%	15.4%	21.3%	25.1%	29.6%	8.7%
Female	District	3.1%	10.9%	25.0%	46.9%	14.1%	1.6%	20.3%	21.9%	37.5%	18.8%
	State	18.2%	16.8%	23.5%	37.0%	4.5%	13.5%	22.5%	26.6%	29.5%	7.8%

## Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA				Μ	athematic	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	4.7% 13.2%	12.8% 15.9%	20.9% 25.2%	52.3% 41.3%	9.3% 4.4%	2.3% 7.7%	12.8% 16.8%	22.1% 26.5%	45.3% 37.8%	17.4% 11.2%
Black	District State	* 34.5%	* 21.6%	* 21.8%	* 21.0%	* 1.1%	* 28.7%	* 30.4%	* 23.7%	* 15.4%	* 1.8%
Hispanic	District State	5.9% 30.2%	17.6% 20.4%	35.3% 23.2%	35.3% 24.7%	5.9% 1.5%	5.9% 19.1%	29.4% 28.0%	41.2% 27.8%	11.8% 22.0%	11.8% 3.0%
Asian	District State	0.0% 7.2%	10.0% 9.8%	10.0% 19.3%	60.0% 52.1%	20.0% 11.5%	0.0% 3.5%	10.0% 8.3%	10.0% 17.2%	60.0% 41.8%	20.0% 29.2%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 14.4%	* 13.8%	* 33.1%	* 35.0%	* 3.8%	*	*	*	*	*
American Ir	ndian District State	*	*	*	*	*	* 19.4%	* 23.5%	* 25.8%	* 27.4%	* 3.8%
Two or Mor	e Races District State	* 19.4%	* 16.7%	* 23.4%	* 35.8%	* 4.6%	* 14.6%	* 20.5%	* 24.7%	* 30.2%	* 10.0%

## Grade 4 - All

			ELA				Μ	athematio	cs	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
District	1.8%	13.3%	26.5%	44.2%	14.2%	5.3%	7.1%	30.1%	49.6%	8.0%
State	14.1%	20.1%	27.3%	31.0%	7.6%	15.8%	25.6%	27.2%	28.1%	3.4%

## Grade 4 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	S	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District	1.4%	13.9%	27.8%	47.2%	9.7%	6.9%	6.9%	27.8%	47.2%	11.1%
	State	17.1%	21.9%	27.5%	28.1%	5.5%	16.6%	25.0%	26.5%	28.4%	3.5%
Female	District	2.4%	12.2%	24.4%	39.0%	22.0%	2.4%	7.3%	34.1%	53.7%	2.4%
	State	10.9%	18.2%	27.2%	33.9%	9.8%	15.0%	26.1%	27.9%	27.7%	3.3%

## Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Grade 4 -	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	und								
				ELA				М	athematio	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	1.2% 8.4%	12.2% 16.1%	25.6% 27.5%	47.6% 38.0%	13.4% 10.0%	4.9% 8.8%	4.9% 19.7%	31.7% 29.6%	51.2% 37.4%	7.3% 4.4%
Black	District State	* 25.1%	* 27.5%	* 26.9%	* 18.2%	* 2.3%	* 31.1%	* 35.0%	* 22.3%	* 11.1%	* 0.5%
Hispanic	District State	0.0% 18.8%	30.0% 24.4%	40.0% 29.1%	20.0% 24.2%	10.0% 3.5%	20.0% 20.6%	20.0% 32.8%	40.0% 27.4%	20.0% 18.3%	0.0% 1.0%
Asian	District State	6.7% 4.6%	6.7% 8.4%	20.0% 18.9%	46.7% 44.5%	20.0% 23.6%	0.0% 3.9%	0.0% 10.1%	26.7% 20.3%	53.3% 50.0%	20.0% 15.7%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 7.8%	* 16.3%	* 28.4%	* 36.9%	* 10.6%	*	* *	*	*	*
American I	ndian District State	*	*	*	*	*	* 21.7%	* 33.6%	* 26.8%	* 15.6%	* 2.4%
Two or Mor		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	12.9%	19.7%	25.6%	32.3%	9.6%	15.1%	24.2%	26.5%	30.2%	4.1%

#### Grade 4 - Students with IEPs

				ELA				M	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	District	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%
	State	45.2%	28.7%	15.6%	9.1%	1.4%	41.4%	33.0%	15.5%	9.3%	0.8%
Non-IEP	District	1.0%	8.9%	27.7%	46.5%	15.8%	1.0%	6.9%	29.7%	53.5%	8.9%
	State	9.1%	18.7%	29.2%	34.4%	8.6%	11.8%	24.4%	29.0%	31.0%	3.8%

## Grade 4 - Economically Disadvantaged

				ELA				М	athematic	s	,
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Free/Reduced Price Lunch											
	District State	5.6% 20.8%	11.1% 25.9%	50.0% 28.8%	22.2% 21.8%	11.1% 2.7%	11.1% 23.8%	0.0% 33.1%	44.4% 26.0%	44.4% 16.3%	0.0% 0.8%
	District	1.1%	13.7%	22.1%	48.4%	14.7%	4.2%	8.4%	27.4%	50.5%	9.5%
-	State	6.2%	13.3%	25.6%	41.6%	13.3%	6.5%	16.7%	28.5%	41.8%	6.4%

## Grade 5 - All

			ELA				М	athematio	cs	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
District	3.5%	9.1%	38.5%	44.1%	4.9%	5.6%	14.7%	29.4%	45.5%	4.9%
State	14.0%	21.9%	28.5%	32.9%	2.7%	16.6%	24.7%	27.9%	26.3%	4.5%

## Grade 5 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	S	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District	6.7%	14.7%	38.7%	38.7%	1.3%	9.3%	13.3%	24.0%	49.3%	4.0%
	State	17.2%	24.7%	29.1%	27.6%	1.5%	18.4%	24.6%	26.2%	26.1%	4.8%
Female	District	0.0%	2.9%	38.2%	50.0%	8.8%	1.5%	16.2%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%
	State	10.8%	19.0%	27.9%	38.4%	4.0%	14.7%	24.9%	29.6%	26.5%	4.3%

## Grade 5 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA				Μ	athematio	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	2.0% 7.4%	10.9% 16.4%	35.6% 29.6%	46.5% 42.8%	5.0% 3.8%	5.9% 9.6%	11.9% 19.7%	31.7% 29.9%	47.5% 35.1%	3.0% 5.7%
Black	District State	* 27.1%	* 31.2%	* 25.7%	* 15.5%	* 0.5%	* 32.4%	* 33.3%	* 23.4%	* 10.3%	* 0.6%
Hispanic	District State	14.3% 19.2%	7.1% 28.0%	42.9% 29.7%	35.7% 22.3%	0.0% 0.8%	7.1% 20.9%	28.6% 31.0%	35.7% 29.0%	28.6% 17.6%	0.0% 1.5%
Asian	District State	4.8% 4.4%	0.0% 9.1%	38.1% 21.0%	47.6% 55.3%	9.5% 10.3%	4.8% 4.2%	19.0% 9.0%	4.8% 19.3%	57.1% 44.4%	14.3% 23.1%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 9.4%	* 17.6%	* 27.7%	* 39.6%	* 5.7%	* *	* *	* *	*	*
American I	ndian District State	*	*	*	*	*	* 19.6%	* 28.8%	* 27.5%	* 22.6%	* 1.5%
Two or Mor	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	11.5%	20.8%	28.4%	35.9%	3.4%	16.6%	24.1%	26.7%	27.6%	5.1%

#### Grade 5 - Students with IEPs

				ELA				M	athematic	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	District	17.6%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	0.0%
	State	47.1%	30.5%	15.1%	7.0%	0.3%	42.6%	34.2%	15.3%	7.1%	0.8%
Non-IEP	District	1.6%	7.9%	36.5%	49.2%	4.8%	1.6%	11.1%	31.0%	50.8%	5.6%
	State	8.8%	20.6%	30.6%	37.0%	3.1%	12.5%	23.3%	29.9%	29.3%	5.1%

## Grade 5 - Economically Disadvantaged

			ELA				M	athematio	cs	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%
School District	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%
State	21.4%	29.0%	28.7%	20.2%	0.7%	24.7%	31.8%	27.0%	15.4%	1.1%
Not Eligible District	0.8%	9.6%	38.4%	45.6%	5.6%	3.2%	13.6%	30.4%	47.2%	5.6%
State	5.5%	13.8%	28.2%	47.5%	5.0%	7.2%	16.7%	28.9%	38.8%	8.4%

## Grade 6 - All

	_										
			ELA			Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
District	7.6%	19.7%	24.2%	45.5%	3.0%	8.3%	7.6%	30.3%	43.9%	9.8%	
State	12.5%	23.4%	30.1%	29.4%	4.7%	15.6%	28.8%	28.7%	23.3%	3.6%	

## Grade 6 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	S	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District	14.1%	25.0%	28.1%	32.8%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	32.8%	40.6%	7.8%
	State	15.8%	26.7%	30.1%	24.6%	2.8%	17.2%	28.6%	27.6%	22.7%	3.8%
Female	District	1.5%	14.7%	20.6%	57.4%	5.9%	4.4%	8.8%	27.9%	47.1%	11.8%
	State	9.0%	20.1%	30.0%	34.3%	6.6%	14.0%	28.9%	29.8%	23.9%	3.3%

## Grade 6 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA				М	athematio	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	8.0% 6.8%	20.0% 17.3%	24.0% 31.2%	44.0% 38.2%	4.0% 6.5%	8.0% 9.1%	8.0% 23.1%	30.0% 31.8%	48.0% 31.6%	6.0% 4.4%
Black	District State	* 24.8%	* 34.1%	* 26.9%	* 13.4%	* 0.8%	* 31.6%	* 38.7%	* 21.5%	* 7.8%	* 0.4%
Hispanic	District State	8.3% 16.6%	33.3% 30.3%	33.3% 31.6%	25.0% 20.0%	0.0% 1.6%	16.7% 19.5%	16.7% 35.9%	41.7% 29.0%	8.3% 14.7%	16.7% 0.9%
Asian	District State	0.0% 3.7%	9.1% 9.4%	9.1% 21.9%	81.8% 48.4%	0.0% 16.5%	0.0% 3.5%	0.0% 11.0%	27.3% 22.0%	36.4% 43.9%	36.4% 19.5%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 10.1%	* 18.8%	* 26.1%	* 38.4%	* 6.5%	*	*	*	*	*
American I	ndian District State	*	*	*	*	*	* 16.2%	* 36.7%	* 28.2%	* 17.8%	* 1.1%
Two or Mor	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	11.2%	21.5%	30.2%	31.2%	5.9%	15.8%	27.8%	28.1%	23.2%	5.0%

#### Grade 6 - Students with IEPs

				ELA				М	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	District	57.1%	35.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%
	State	43.1%	35.3%	15.4%	5.7%	0.5%	46.3%	36.1%	12.4%	4.6%	0.6%
Non-IEP	District	1.7%	17.8%	27.1%	50.8%	2.5%	3.4%	5.9%	31.4%	48.3%	11.0%
	State	7.7%	21.6%	32.4%	33.0%	5.3%	10.9%	27.6%	31.2%	26.2%	4.0%

## Grade 6 - Economically Disadvantaged

			ELA				М	athematio	cs	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Free/Reduced Price Lu	unch									
District	15.0%	20.0%	40.0%	25.0%	0.0%	20.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%	0.0%
State	19.3%	31.2%	30.3%	18.0%	1.3%	23.6%	36.8%	26.4%	12.4%	0.8%
Not Eligible District	6.3%	19.6%	21.4%	49.1%	3.6%	6.3%	6.3%	28.6%	47.3%	11.6%
State	4.9%	14.9%	29.9%	41.9%	8.3%	6.9%	19.9%	31.2%	35.4%	6.6%

## Grade 7 - All

			ELA		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
District	6.6%	11.7%	21.9%	38.7%	21.2%	2.9%	13.7%	28.1%	43.9%	11.5%
State	16.5%	18.2%	25.5%	29.1%	10.7%	11.3%	26.9%	31.1%	26.9%	3.8%

## Grade 7 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	S	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District	6.7%	16.0%	30.7%	34.7%	12.0%	5.3%	15.8%	23.7%	47.4%	7.9%
	State	21.5%	21.3%	25.8%	24.7%	6.7%	13.1%	27.5%	29.9%	25.6%	3.9%
Female	District	6.5%	6.5%	11.3%	43.5%	32.3%	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	39.7%	15.9%
	State	11.1%	15.0%	25.1%	33.8%	15.0%	9.5%	26.3%	32.4%	28.2%	3.6%

## Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA				Μ	athematio	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	5.9% 9.9%	9.9% 14.4%	22.8% 25.7%	39.6% 35.8%	21.8% 14.2%	1.0% 6.4%	12.6% 20.3%	28.2% 33.2%	48.5% 35.4%	9.7% 4.7%
Black	District State	* 31.3%	* 25.9%	* 24.5%	* 15.7%	* 2.7%	* 23.8%	* 40.9%	* 25.3%	* 9.5%	* 0.4%
Hispanic	District State	14.3% 21.8%	21.4% 22.7%	21.4% 27.4%	35.7% 23.1%	7.1% 5.0%	14.3% 14.4%	21.4% 33.8%	28.6% 32.7%	28.6% 18.1%	7.1% 1.0%
Asian	District State	0.0% 5.0%	9.1% 6.9%	9.1% 16.8%	45.5% 39.7%	36.4% 31.6%	0.0% 2.9%	9.1% 8.8%	18.2% 21.9%	27.3% 47.4%	45.5% 18.9%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 7.3%	* 16.5%	* 20.7%	* 34.1%	* 21.3%	*	*	*	*	*
American I	ndian District State	*	*	*	*	*	* 12.6%	* 30.3%	* 32.9%	* 22.1%	* 2.1%
Two or Mor	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	15.0%	18.6%	24.8%	29.3%	12.3%	11.2%	28.0%	29.5%	26.4%	5.0%

#### Grade 7 - Students with IEPs

				ELA			Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
IEP	District	38.9%	38.9%	16.7%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%	55.6%	5.6%	22.2%	0.0%	
	State	53.8%	25.6%	14.2%	5.6%	0.8%	40.6%	40.4%	14.1%	4.5%	0.4%	
Non-IEP	District	1.7%	7.6%	22.7%	43.7%	24.4%	0.8%	7.4%	31.4%	47.1%	13.2%	
	State	10.7%	17.1%	27.2%	32.7%	12.2%	6.9%	24.9%	33.7%	30.3%	4.3%	

## Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged

			ELA			Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	1									
District State	15.8% 24.9%	10.5% 23.9%	36.8% 26.7%	21.1% 20.4%	15.8% 4.2%	5.3% 17.5%	31.6% 36.2%	21.1% 30.5%	15.8% 14.9%	26.3% 0.9%
Not Eligible District	5.1%	11.9%	19.5%	41.5%	22.0%	2.5%	10.8%	29.2%	48.3%	9.2%
State	7.7%	12.4%	24.2%	38.2%	17.5%	5.0%	17.3%	31.7%	39.3%	6.7%

## Grade 8 - All

		ELA					Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
District	10.1%	16.1%	28.0%	40.5%	5.4%	8.9%	11.9%	25.6%	47.6%	6.0%		
State	18.4%	19.5%	25.6%	30.1%	6.3%	24.1%	22.7%	22.8%	26.1%	4.4%		

## Grade 8 - Gender

				ELA			Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Male	District	19.5%	20.8%	31.2%	27.3%	1.3%	14.3%	14.3%	27.3%	37.7%	6.5%	
	State	24.3%	22.1%	25.2%	24.6%	3.9%	27.1%	22.6%	21.3%	24.4%	4.6%	
Female	District	2.2%	12.1%	25.3%	51.6%	8.8%	4.4%	9.9%	24.2%	56.0%	5.5%	
	State	12.3%	16.8%	26.1%	36.0%	8.9%	20.9%	22.7%	24.3%	27.9%	4.2%	

## Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Grade 8 -	Racial/Ethnie	c Backgro	und								
				ELA				М	athematio	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	District State	9.1% 11.6%	15.2% 16.1%	33.3% 26.4%	38.6% 37.6%	3.8% 8.2%	7.6% 15.4%	12.1% 19.9%	26.5% 25.4%	47.7% 33.9%	6.1% 5.4%
Black	District State	* 33.6%	* 26.5%	* 23.7%	* 14.8%	* 1.4%	* 45.5%	* 27.3%	* 16.8%	* 9.8%	* 0.5%
Hispanic	District State	10.0% 24.4%	30.0% 23.8%	10.0% 26.6%	50.0% 22.6%	0.0% 2.6%	10.0% 30.3%	20.0% 27.5%	20.0% 22.7%	50.0% 18.1%	0.0% 1.4%
Asian	District State	7.1% 6.1%	7.1% 8.8%	14.3% 18.4%	50.0% 44.9%	21.4% 21.8%	7.1% 6.7%	0.0% 10.1%	35.7% 17.9%	42.9% 43.4%	14.3% 22.0%
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific										
	District State	* 15.6%	* 13.8%	* 22.9%	* 33.9%	* 12.8%	*	*	*	*	*
American I	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Two or Mor		*	*	*	*	*	30.0%	20.6%	23.4%	24.0%	2.0%
	District State	* 17.9%	* 18.6%	25.5%	* 30.9%	7.2%	* 25.4%	* 22.1%	21.3%	* 26.5%	4.7%

#### Grade 8 - Students with IEPs

			ELA					Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
IEP	District	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%		
	State	57.6%	24.2%	12.5%	5.2%	0.4%	64.8%	21.9%	8.6%	4.2%	0.5%		
Non-IEP	District	3.4%	15.5%	30.4%	44.6%	6.1%	2.0%	10.8%	27.7%	52.7%	6.8%		
	State	12.6%	18.8%	27.6%	33.8%	7.2%	18.0%	22.8%	24.9%	29.4%	5.0%		

## Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

		ELA					Mathematics				
Level	s 1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Free/Reduced Price	unch										
Distric	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	0.0%	
State	27.6%	24.7%	25.7%	19.8%	2.2%	35.7%	27.3%	20.8%	15.0%	1.2%	
Not Eligible Distric	9.7%	13.6%	29.2%	42.2%	5.2%	8.4%	10.4%	25.3%	49.4%	6.5%	
State	9.4%	14.4%	25.5%	40.4%	10.4%	12.6%	18.0%	24.7%	37.2%	7.6%	

# RACE/ETHNICITY AND DISABILITY CATEGORY FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

## Percent of Students with Disabilities by Race / Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	Native American	Two or More Races
District	All Students	74.6%	1.8%	8.9%	9.8%		0.1%	4.8%
District	Students with IEPs	69.7%	3.5%	11.3%	10.6%			4.9%
All Peer	All Students	50.4%	13.1%	25.6%	6.6%		0.3%	3.9%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	49.6%	15.4%	26.5%	4.0%		0.3%	4.0%
State	All Students	47.9%	16.8%	26.1%	5.1%	0.1%	0.3%	3.5%
Sidle	Students with IEPs	47.4%	20.0%	25.9%	2.6%	0.1%	0.3%	3.7%

## Percent of Students with IEPs in Each Disability Category

	Perce	nt of All Stu	Idents	Percent	of Students	with IEPs
Disability Category	District	All Peer Districts*	State	District	All Peer Districts*	State
Autism	2.0%	1.2%	1.3%	16.9%	8.5%	8.8%
Deafness		0.0%	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%
Deaf-Blindness		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Developmental Delay	4.3%	2.9%	1.9%	35.9%	20.0%	12.9%
Emotional Disability	0.3%	0.7%	0.9%	2.8%	4.8%	6.3%
Hearing Impairment	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.7%	1.1%	1.0%
Intellectual Disability	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%	1.4%	3.0%	5.4%
Multiple Disabilities		0.1%	0.1%		1.0%	1.0%
Orthopedic Impairment	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%
Other Health Impairment	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%	14.8%	11.0%	12.6%
Specific Learning Disability	2.4%	3.8%	5.0%	20.4%	25.9%	34.5%
Speech or Language Impairment	0.8%	3.5%	2.4%	6.3%	23.7%	16.3%
Traumatic Brain Injury		0.0%	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%
Visual Impairment		0.0%	0.1%		0.3%	0.4%

\*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

\*\*\* Students who are receiving special education and/or related services via an Individualized Service Plan (ISP) have been removed from all calculations \*\*\*\*

## EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Educational environment refers to the extent to which students with disabilities receive special education and related services in classes or schools with their non-disabled peers. Research has shown that students with disabilities who received their special education and/or related services in the general education environment displayed increased motivation, higher self-esteem, improved communication and socialization skills, and greater academic achievement as compared to students who received their special education and/or related services in a more restrictive, or segregated, environment.

The educational environments in which students with disabilities ages 6-21 receive their special education and/or related services are generally classified into four settings:

- 1. Served inside the general education classroom 80% or more of the day
- 2. Served inside the general education classroom 40% to 79% of the day
- 3. Served inside the general education classroom less than 40% of the day
- 4. Served in separate educational facilities

The following information is provided for students ages 6 through 21.

Percent of Stu	Percent of Students with Disabilities in Various Educational Environments										
		Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility						
	District	46.7%	40.0%	5.7%	7.6%						
All Students with a Disability	All Peer Districts*	60.3%	20.0%	14.1%	5.6%						
	State	53.3%	26.8%	13.4%	6.4%						

Educational Environments by Race / Ethnicity										
		Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility					
	District	50.0%	34.7%	6.9%	8.3%					
	All Peer Districts*	63.7%	20.1%	11.2%	4.9%					
White	State	57.3%	24.8%	11.4%	6.6%					
	District	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
	All Peer Districts*	48.7%	22.1%	18.9%	10.3%					
Black	State	43.7%	31.2%	16.9%	8.2%					
Hispanic	District	23.1%	76.9%	0.0%	0.0%					
- <b>-</b>	All Peer Districts*	61.4%	18.7%	15.9%	4.0%					
	State	53.8%	28.0%	13.6%	4.6%					
	District	54.5%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%					
Asian	All Peer Districts*	60.6%	16.6%	17.4%	5.4%					
	State	54.4%	19.3%	19.1%	7.2%					
	District									
Native Hawaiian	All Peer Districts*	62.3%	17.0%	18.9%	1.9%					
	State	50.5%	18.4%	22.2%	9.0%					
	Ville	00.070	10.170		0.070					
Native American	District									
Nauve American	All Peer Districts*	63.2%	19.2%	13.0%	4.7%					
	State	52.1%	25.4%	15.4%	7.1%					
		00.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Two or More Races	District	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
	All Peer Districts*	58.3%	21.7%	13.8%	6.2%					
	State	54.7%	23.9%	14.3%	7.1%					

\*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not inlcude Chicago Public Schools

		Inside <u>&gt;</u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	38.1%	23.8%	9.5%	28.6%
Autism	All Peer Districts*	34.5%	16.9%	33.2%	15.4%
	State	30.3%	22.6%	31.3%	15.8%
	District	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Emotional Disability	All Peer Districts*	40.2%	14.8%	14.2%	30.8%
-	State	34.0%	20.7%	15.2%	30.0%
	District	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Intellectual Disability	All Peer Districts*	5.1%	20.1%	59.7%	15.1%
	State	4.0%	29.0%	51.3%	15.7%
	District	52.4%	38.1%	4.8%	4.8%
Other Health Impairment	All Peer Districts*	63.0%	21.8%	10.8%	4.4%
	State	58.0%	27.6%	9.5%	4.9%
Specific Learning Disability	District	41.4%	58.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	All Peer Districts*	57.8%	32.7%	8.7%	0.8%
	State	55.1%	37.4%	6.4%	1.1%
Speech or Language					
Impairment	District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	All Peer Districts*	96.3%	2.4%	1.2%	0.1%
	State	96.9%	2.1%	0.9%	0.1%

## Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

Educational environments for children ages 3 through 5 can be generally classified into one of the following settings:

- A. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in some other location.
- C. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in a separate class/facility.
- D. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in the child's home.
- E. Children receiving special education or related services from a service provider, and who do not attend an early childhood or special education program.

Percent of Stu	Percent of Students with Disabilities in Various Educational Environments											
	Regular Early Ch	ildhood Program	Separate		Service							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Provider							
District	94.6	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0							
All Peer Districts*	38.1	24.2	30.0	0.1	7.6							
State	41.3	26.9	25.3	0.2	6.3							

r

	Regular Early Cl	nildhood Program	Separate			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
White						
District	92.6%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	34.0%	28.0%	27.5%	0.2%	10.4%	
State	36.3%	32.3%	22.8%	0.3%	8.4%	
Black						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	35.5%	25.3%	35.7%	0.1%	3.4%	
State	43.6%	24.2%	29.6%	0.1%	2.5%	
Hispanic						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	47.1%	17.2%	31.0%	0.1%	4.6%	
State	51.9%	17.5%	26.5%	0.1%	4.1%	
Asian						
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts*	40.1%	18.1%	36.8%	0.0%	4.9%	
State	42.1%	16.6%	35.5%	0.1%	5.8%	
Native Hawaiian						
District						
All Peer Districts*	15.4%	38.5%	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	38.2%	29.4%	29.4%	0.0%	2.9%	
Native American						
District	22.00/	22.00/	40 50/	0.00/	0 70/	
All Peer Districts*	23.9%	23.9%	43.5%	0.0%	8.7%	
State	36.3%	22.5%	33.3%	1.0%	6.9%	
Two or More Races	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
District	36.8%	26.9%	0.0% 29.7%	0.0%	0.0% 6.7%	
All Peer Districts*	35.9%	33.0%	29.7% 25.7%	0.0%	6.7% 5.2%	
State	30.9%	33.0%	20.170	U.2 70	J.Z%	

\*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

Educational Environments for Selected Disabilities										
	Regular Early Cl	nildhood Program	Separate							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider					
Autism										
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	17.3%	18.9%	63.2%	0.0%	0.7%					
State	27.3%	15.4%	56.9%	0.1%	0.4%					
Developmental Delay										
District	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	40.9%	15.7%	42.0%	0.1%	1.3%					
State	45.2%	16.9%	37.0%	0.1%	0.8%					
Emotional Disability										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	31.3%	18.8%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
State	25.4%	34.3%	38.8%	0.0%	1.5%					
Intellectual Disability										
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	22.5%	7.5%	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
State	25.9%	17.9%	56.3%	0.0%	0.0%					
Other Health Impairment										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	32.2%	18.0%	47.3%	0.9%	1.5%					
State	38.2%	19.0%	40.2%	1.3%	1.4%					
Specific Learning Disability										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	52.5%	22.5%	22.5%	0.0%	0.0%					
State	44.0%	26.7%	28.0%	0.0%	1.3%					
Speech or Language Impairment										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
All Peer Districts*	39.0%	37.2%	5.7%	0.1%	17.9%					
State	40.1%	41.5%	3.7%	0.2%	14.5%					

\*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit \*\* Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

## STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the State will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to the Illinois State Performance Plan, Part B can be found at: <a href="https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx">https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx</a>

The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not it met the annual state targets for those indicators as defined in the Illinois State Performance Plan. Some indicators require a minimum number of students before comparing district data to the state targets. "N/A" indicates that either the district did not have enough students to report on the district's performance for that particular indicator or the district does not serve students of the ages measured by the indicator.

The State Test Participation Rate is calculated by dividing the number of participants by the Tested Enrollment. At least 95% of students should be tested in ELA and mathematics with at least 10 students. If the state test participation rate is less than 95%, a 95% confidence interval is applied and the student group can meet the 95% target through the confidence interval.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2017 - 2018 District Data	2017 - 2018 State Target	District Met State Target
1	Graduation Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		84.0	N/A
2	Dropout Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		4.6	N/A
3a	Made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs	N/A	N/A	N/A
3b	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	99.0	95.0	Yes
3b	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	99.0	95.0	Yes
3с	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state reading assessments	56.2	42.0	Yes
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state math assessments	31.8	40.0	No
4a	Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days for children with IEPs (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside the general classroom $\geq$ 80% of the time	46.7	57.0	No
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	5.7	16.0	Yes
5c	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served in separate educational facilities	7.6	3.9	No

Please note that asterisks in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2017 - 2018 District Data	2017 - 2018 State Target	District Met State Target
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	94.6	32.8	Yes
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	0.0	30.6	Yes
7a	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills	90.9	86.2	Yes
7a	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	58.3	55.5	Yes
7b	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	86.9	Yes
7b	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	58.3	53.8	Yes
7c	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.0	88.0	Yes
7c	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	58.3	64.2	No
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities		60.0	N/A
9	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes
10	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2017 - 2018 District Data	2017 - 2018 State Target	District Met State Target
11	Children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	100.0	100.0	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays		100.0	N/A
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals		100.0	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school		35.0	N/A
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school		57.0	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school		74.0	N/A

SPP Indicators 1 - 8 and 14 are Results Indicators

SPP Indicators 9 - 13 are Compliance Indicators

## Millburn CCSD 24 Millburn Elem School 18550 W Millburn Rd Old Mill Creek, ILLINOIS 60083 GRADES - PK K 1 2 3 4 5

Bennett Walshire Email - bwalshire@millburn24.net (847) 356-8331



Summative Designation - Commendable	EBF District Funding Tier - 2
Student Group - All Students	Financial capacity to meet expectations - 82.0 %
Title 1 Status -	State Senate District - 31
	State Representative District - 061

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, the Illinois State Board of Education has updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

#### 

							]	67 🛛 '🗶 )' 8 🗠 )%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 <i>1</i> 00	(&3⊮-0 4⊡7		
		0/0%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	I - /5&	1 :5&\$%)	1&\$/5&	9 5I %	1 2-	4%5)&%)-	18/00%	0 0 0 <b>%% -</b>
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	733	537	14	72	78	*	*	32	103	56	110	*
		73.3%	1.9%	9.8%	10.6%	*	*	4.4%	14.1%	7.6%	15.0%	*
'0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 00	1,194	891	22	106	117	*	*	57	172	69	165	*
		74.6%	1.8%	8.9%	9.8%	*	*	4.8%	14.4%	5.8%	13.8%	*
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	2,001,529	961,211	336,956	523,950	101,548	2,091	5,591	70,182	289,903	233,348	988,686	39,266
		48.0%	16.8%	26.2%	5.1%	0.1%	0.3%	3.5%	14.5%	11.7%	49.4%	2.0%

0 0**#\$%&0'( &)**0 000 **%&**0 is based on <u>Serving School</u>.

□ #\$%& - 0 / 10 ' (2- are those eligible to receive special education services. ( &3 //- 0 ' 4%5) &% - are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **40 7 18**00 % are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

000 %% - 'students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

G 9 BA1G	'''       <b>  ( A6( ( 1</b> )	8 '9 🛛 6(									
						A50/C%					
						□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ / 12⊡ '	] ] <b>%/</b> ] <b>5&amp;''</b>	67 □ '□ )' 8 □ )%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 /00	(&3∦-0	407
		0/0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	I <b>- /5&amp;</b>	1	1&\$/5&	950%	1(2-	(a3⊪-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18000 %
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	9.2%	7.1%	40.0%	9.1%	12.8%	*	*	20.0%	8.0%	20.4%	18.8%
'0 /- 0) <i>/</i> 0 0	7.9%	6.3%	35.3%	8.9%	10.4%	*	*	15.1%	10.0%	27.1%	16.6%
'0 050%	16.8%	13.2%	27.4%	17.6%	8.5%	14.4%	33.1%	18.2%	25.2%	14.6%	23.3%

0 60 0 <b>( A</b> 6	<b>'8 B</b> I <b>1416H'9</b> I	6(											
								A50/C%					
								□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ / 12⊡ '	] [] <b>%/</b> ] 5&''	67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00	(&3∦-0	407
		<b>8 5</b> ⊡%	I%I 5⊡%	0/0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	□ <b>- /5&amp;</b>	1 05&\$%)	18\$/5&	9 50 %	1(2-	4%5)&%)-	18000 %
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	5.2%	5.2%	5.2%	3.7%	15.4%	8.3%	7.5%	*	*	12.1%	8.1%	4.1%	11.5%
'0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	4.7%	5.5%	3.8%	3.4%	13.6%	8.8%	6.6%	*	*	8.5%	9.1%	4.8%	11.4%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	6.9%	7.3%	6.4%	4.6%	13.5%	6.7%	6.4%	9.2%	10.8%	8.0%	10.1%	9.3%	10.0%

'6B6□ 4'□	G: BB4': : H:		60 'N90 0 ( 90 '	
<b>A#</b> □ ,	J%)'⊫E∷5K-	2000 1AN'0 4N(0 90 '1		
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	176	<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	*	
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	176	"0 <b>/-</b> 0 <b>)/</b> 0 0	48.6%	
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	175	'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	30.6%	

0 60 0 <b>( A</b> 6	<b>GBO 6</b> 11'9161	B			Π (	<b>46</b>	
	2#F/৷O 6%5৷0%) ( ৷% %&.5)K	2#F/ïO 6%5ï0% ï%ï&\$\$5)K	2#F/00 G%)1/E%\$ 0 05EE	2#F/īO 0 \$0 /&/- 0)500 )	I (4	0 A0 44A( 0 0 ' 'F%)'7 %% 0	
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0					<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0	5.0	
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	17.9	*	8.5	170.6	'0 /- 0 <b>)/</b> 0 0	5.0	
'0 050%	19.0	19.3	11.1	180.6	'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	3.0	

0 R( 90 N(	R( 9 N( 'G4 1 1 '' 'S( '(as of the first school day in May)														
'N)5\$%	Т	U	V	W	Х	Y	Z		М	U'OUV	B <b>C%)</b> 5⊞				
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	25.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	21.0	28.0	*	*	*	*	23.0				
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	25.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	21.0	28.0	24.0	24.0	29.0	*	23.0				
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	19.0	19.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0				


618 ('I(R	318 ( '0 ( RB6( 0 '6B'6( 0 G) 1AN'GB9( '0 0 0 ( G60 '(Minutes Per Day)														
	8	500% 50/0	-		<b> %&amp;</b>   %		( &3:/-	0045&3#53	3%0)0-	0 0 0 <b>/5</b> 0'0 0 <b>/%&amp;</b> 0 %					
''N)5\$%	W	Z	М	W	Z	М	W	Z	М	W	Z	М			
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	75	1	1	23	1	1	120	1	1	23	1	1			
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	75	80	80	23	40	40	120	80	80	23	40	40			
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	73	60	57	35	48	51	129	88	77	30	48	50			


6( 🛛 <b>G</b> ( 9	'1AIB98 [61B	A'(Full-Tim	e Equivaler	nts)							
								A5:/C%			
								□ 57 5//5 <b>&amp;</b> '		67 🛛 '🗶 )'	
	60 050'							D2 5  /E	]	8 🛛 )%	
	A#⊡ J%)	8 5 <b></b> ™	I%- 5₪%	0 <b>0/</b> 0%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	🛛 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 🛛	I -/5&	1 8\$\$%)	1&\$/5&	9 50 <b>%</b>	8878
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	87	12.9%	87.1%	97.6%	*	2.4%	*	*	*	*	*
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	128,999	23.3%	76.7%	83.2%	5.8%	6.2%	1.5%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.3%

6( 🛛 <b>G</b> ( 9	"1AIB98 061B	A'( Continued )	)
	□C%)53% 6%5□0/&3 (□F%)/%&□% H%5)-□	L 'I E 6%50 0%- 7 /00 0 50 0%0 )I- 0 %3)%%	L 'I E 6%50 0%-' 7 /10' 8 5- 1%1-' 1 JI C%
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0			
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	16.1	25.3%	74.7%
'0 050%	13.2	38.5%	61.0%

6( 🛛 G ( 9	'9(6(A61BA'9 <b>□6</b> (	6( 🛛 G 🛛 ( 9	'0 66( A0 0 AG( '90 6(	6( 🛛 G 🖓 ( 9	)'( R□ 4□ □ 61BA'9□ 6(	29 1AG12 4'6 9 ABR( 9'(Count)		
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	92.8%	<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0		<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0		<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	2.0	
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	93.2%	'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	74.1%	'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	100.0%	'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	2.0	
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	85.2%	<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	70.2%	<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	97.1%	<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	2.0	

#### 0 R( 90 N( '6( 0 G0 ( 9 D 0 8 1A1 690 6B9'0 0 40 9 1( 0





9 ( R( A) ( ') H') B) 9 G( 'VbUZ				'(a2(A01609('0H'I0A0'VbU	ZQJ		
	0 <b>/-</b> 0 <b>)/</b> 0 0	0 /- 0)/0 0 <b>'L</b>	0 050%L ''		0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	0 /- 0)/0 0 <b>'L</b>	0 0 <b>50%L</b>
'40 0 50'2)0 F%0K650 %	\$13,610,901	68.6%	63.1%	'( \$#0 50/0 &	\$11,743,574	62.4%	71.6%
				'BF%)51/1 &- '1 '8 5/&1%&5&1 %	\$1,374,124	7.3%	7.1%
'B:0%)'4:: :: 5:'I #&\$/&3	\$1,490,294	7.5%	5.0%	'6)5&- F□ )□5□/□ &	\$960,271	5.1%	3.8%
				'ı %I''ı %C/ı%	\$2,966,675	15.8%	9.5%
'N%&%)50'0 050%0 /\$	\$3,040,380	15.3%	17.6%	<b>'6</b> 0)0	\$167,685	0.9%	1.2%
				'8 #&/□/F5□'9 %/)% %&□D			
'B10%'I 151%I #&\$/&3	\$1,471,146	7.4%	6.8%	0 0 0 <b>/50'0 % #)/</b> 0K	\$537,624	2.9%	2.1%
				'I/)%2)%C%&//0&'0'05E%K	\$7,423	0.0%	0.7%
'I %\$%)5::'I #&\$/&3	\$229,730	1.2%	7.5%	'G5F/050'2)0	\$1,070,577	5.7%	4.0%
'6B6 <b>□</b> 4	\$19,842,451			'6B6 <b>I</b> 4	\$18,827,953		

#### 186 (91 1A) AG1 41A 1G 6B9

208 (0176				
	VbUY(e#5⊡/f % □ % - %\$'R5⊡#5⊡/□ & F%j'2#F/⊡	VbUY'60 050'0 0 00 0 0 650 '9 50% F%'dUbb	<b>VbLZQ⊔ '18-</b> □)#□ 0/0 &5□ ( □ F%&\$/\#)% F%)'2#F/□	<b>VbUZQ⊔`'BF%</b> 50/&3 (□F%&\$/₪#)% F%}'2#F/₪
"0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	\$191,629	6.31	\$7,332	\$13,096
"0 050%	**	**	\$8,024	\$13,337

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

( e#50/f %'5--% - % - % 'C50#50/0 & includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

60 050'- 0 00 0 0'050 ')50% is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

18- 1)#1 1/1 851'% F%8\$/1#)%F%'F#F/1 includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

BF%5//83'% F%2\$/#)%F% FF/I includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

	I 1G1( AGH							A50/C% 575//5&' D250/E0'		67 [] '[] )'	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0-	( &3⊮- 0	40 7
	□ □ □ <b>□#\$%&amp;</b> □-	8 5 <b>0%</b>	I% 50%	0/0%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	□ <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> □	□ -/5&	1.58\$%)	18\$/5&	950%	□ /10 1(2-	(as⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18400 %
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	208	103	105	156	*	15	30	*	*	7	8	8	18
	54.0%	48.8%	60.3%	56.9%	*	34.9%	63.8%	*	*	41.2%	17.4%	29.6%	34.6%
'0 /- 0)/0 0	433	182	251	324	2	29	58	*	*	19	14	8	35
	51.5%	41.5%	62.6%	52.3%	18.2%	36.3%	67.4%	*	*	45.2%	12.1%	19.0%	31.3%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	373,532	160,882	212,650	227,977	29,576	67,203	33,470	463	833	14,010	12,783	11,564	115,196
	36.7%	30.9%	42.8%	46.8%	17.4%	24.8%	65.6%	44.7%	29.2%	40.0%	8.9%	10.9%	22.1%

	BI 1G1( AGH	0.5%	1.06 5-0/	- 0 <i>4</i> 0/	<b>-</b>	a   550k		A5:/C% 57 5//5&' D2 5: /E: '	0 0 <b>%/</b> 0 5&''	,	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00	(&3⊮-0	40 7
	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0-	8 50%	% 50%	0/0%	0 050 0	□ /- F5&/□	□ - /5& I	1 05&\$%)	1&\$/5&	950%	1(2-	4%5)&%)-	18400 %
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	207	116	91	153	*	10	34	*	*	9	5	8	19
	53.9%	55.2%	52.3%	55.8%	*	23.8%	72.3%	*	*	52.9%	11.1%	30.1%	37.3%
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	445	223	222	338	2	23	58	*	*	23	12	8	36
	52.9%	50.8%	55.2%	54.3%	18.2%	29.1%	67.4%	*	*	54.8%	10.4%	19.5%	32.4%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	321,607	163,519	158,088	201,422	19,256	53,675	34,370	435	682	11,767	11,241	12,270	88,476
	31.5%	31.3%	31.7%	41.3%	11.4%	19.7%	66.0%	41.4%	23.6%	33.6%	7.9%	11.1%	16.9%

11 🛛 '29 BI	1G1( AGH							A5:/C%					
	0 00 0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 1-	8 5 <b>.</b> %	I% 5⊡%	□ <b>0/</b> □%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	□ <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> □	□ - /5&	□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ /D2□ ' □ 1- □5&\$%)		67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )% 9 50 %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00 1(2-	(&3⊪-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18000%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	104	55	49	74	*	9	16	*	*	*	7	*	8
	74.3%	72.4%	76.6%	74.7%	*	64.3%	76.2%	*	*	*	41.2%	*	44.4%
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	239	113	126	183	*	16	27	*	*	10	17	*	16
	77.3%	73.9%	80.8%	78.9%	*	66.7%	77.1%	*	*	76.9%	45.9%	*	50.0%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	215,229	106,179	109,050	129,557	17,623	43,209	16,338	269	503	7,730	10,024	4,347	72,825
	50.5%	48.9%	52.0%	62.2%	25.3%	38.0%	73.9%	59.5%	43.1%	54.7%	18.3%	13.4%	34.9%

8 ( 🛛 A'( 4	□'N9B□ 6□'2(	9G(A614	•(										
								A50/C%					
	0 00							□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ /분□ ' □		67 0 '0 )' 8 0 \%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 <b>/</b> 00	(&3∄-0	<b>4</b> 17
	I I# <b>\$%&amp;</b> I-	<b>8 5</b> ⊡%	I%I 5⊡%	0/0%	0 050 0	□ <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> □	□ -/5&		18\$\sigma 5&	9 50 %	1(2-	(a3⊪-0 4%5)&%)-	1800 %
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0	58.6	58.2	59.2	59.0	38.0	52.0	61.1	*	*	65.8	55.2	53.6	57.0
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	54.8	54.5	55.1	54.8	46.8	51.6	60.5	*	28.0	51.6	45.8	53.1	55.7
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	50.0	47.5	52.5	51.8	44.5	48.7	57.4	52.4	49.8	50.0	43.5	48.1	47.5

8 (		A'8 🛛	60	'N9 B	61 '2	9 G(	A614(
-----	--	-------	----	-------	-------	------	-------

	0 00 0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 1-	8 5 <b>°</b> %	I% 5⊡%	0/0%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0		A5:/C% 575//5& 125:/E 1:5&\$%)		67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )% 9 50 %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%}-	407 18000%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	51.7	52.1	51.3	50.9	23.7	50.3	58.5	*	*	58.6	40.9	51.9	48.1
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	58.5	57.4	59.6	58.4	40.1	57.4	61.5	*	78.0	59.2	47.7	57.2	55.7
'0 050%	50.0	49.0	51.0	51.6	44.5	48.9	58.0	50.3	48.5	49.6	44.0	47.6	47.5

(4'2)□ ₽	/%&:K':&':GG(	0				
	g ( 4	g 6% ⊡%\$	g 2)□ Ē⊡ /%&□	L 2):: 🗗: /%&:	g 4⊡ &3 6%)⊡ (4	L 4:: &3 6%): ( 4
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0	49	48	7	14.6%	*	*
"0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	59	57	7	12.3%	*	*
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	210,124	207,307	18,810	9.1%	24,957	11.9%

(40 '25)0/	0 /F50/0 &												
	0 00	8 51%	I% 5⊡%	0/0%	0 0 <b>5</b> 0 0	□ <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> □	I - /5&	A5"/C% 57 5//5&' 12 5" /E <sup>1</sup> ' 1 "5&\$ %	] [] %)/[] 5&'' 1&\$/5&	67 º 'º )' 8 º )% 9 5º %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%-	407 18000%
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	385	211	174	274	*	43	47	*	*	17	46	27	52
	99.5%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%	*	97.7%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%	100.0%
'0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	840	439	401	620	11	80	86	*	*	42	116	42	112
	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	97.6%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.3%	97.7%	100.0%
'¤ <b>¤5</b> ¤%	1,017,260	520,123	497,137	486,626	169,503	271,186	51,039	1,036	2,854	35,016	142,919	106,440	521,722
	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%	98.3%	97.0%	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.8%	96.9%	98.7%	98.0%

8 500'25)	/0 /F50/0 &												
	0 00	8 <b>5</b> 1%	I% 5®%	0 <i>1</i> 0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	II <b>- /5&amp;</b>	A5:/C% 57 5//5&' 12 5: /E: ' 1:5&\$%)	] 0 %)/0 5&'' 1&\$/5&	67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )% 9 50 %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 1800 %
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	384	210	174	274	*	42	47	*	*	17	45	26	51
	99.2%	99.1%	99.4%	99.6%	*	95.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	97.8%	92.9%	98.1%
'0 /- 0)/0 0	841	439	402	622	11	79	86	*	*	42	115	41	111
	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	97.5%	95.3%	99.1%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	1,020,683	521,922	498,761	487,140	169,521	272,992	52,057	1,051	2,885	35,037	142,911	110,540	523,904
	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.2%	96.9%	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.7%	96.9%	98.6%	98.0%

## Millburn Elem School Page 6 of 11

#### 34-049-0240-04-2001

' <b>1</b>   '25) /	/F5:/: &												
	0 00	8 5 <b>°</b> %	I% 5®%	0 <i>1</i> 0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	II <b>- /5&amp;</b>	A5:/C% 57 5//5&' 12 5: /E: ' 1:5&\$%)	] 0 %/0 5&'' 1&\$/5&	67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )% 9 50 %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 /00 1( 2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 1800 %
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	140	76	64	99	*	14	21	*	*	*	17	*	18
	97.2%	100.0%	94.1%	97.1%	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	*	100.0%	*	100.0%
"0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	309	153	156	232	*	24	35	*	*	13	37	*	32
	98.7%	100.0%	97.5%	98.7%	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	426,608	217,043	209,565	208,207	66,876	113,680	22,099	452	1,165	14,129	52,325	32,340	206,136
	95.2%	94.9%	95.6%	96.5%	91.1%	95.3%	96.6%	95.6%	94.8%	95.1%	90.6%	94.4%	93.7%

'0 0 <b>6'( 4</b> 0 '	25):/::/F5:/:: &												
								A50/C% 57 5//5&' D2 50 /D20 '	10 %/05&"	67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 /00	(&3⊮-0	40 7
	0 00	8 5 <b></b> ™	I% 5™	0/0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	🛛 - /5&	1 :5&\$%)	1&\$/5&	9 5I %	1(2-	4%5)&%)-	18200 %
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

'0 0 <b>6'8 5</b> 00	'25)¤/¤ /F5¤/¤ &												
								A5:/C%					
								□ 57 5//5 <b>&amp;</b> '		67 🛛 '🗶 )'	<b>#\$%&amp; -</b>		
		8 5 %	I% 5⊡%	0/0%	0 050 0	0 / <b>- F5&amp;/</b> 0	□ - /5&	D25∷/⊞ ' 1::5&\$%)	10 %)/0 5&'' 1&\$/5&	8 🛛 )% 9 5🛯 %	□ /□0 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18200%
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
'0 /- 0) <i>1</i> 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

'I 48 O I '(	40 '25)0/0 /F50/0	&											
								A5:/C% 57 5//5&		67 🛛 '🕄 )'	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0-	( 00-1 0	40.7
	0 00	8 5 <b></b> %	I%I 5⊡%	0 <b>/</b> 0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	I <b>- /5&amp;</b>	D25∷/E⊡' 1:::5&\$%)	0 %/0 5&'' 1&\$/5&	8 □ )% 9 5□ %	□ /□0 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18400%
'0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
"0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	26	19	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	26	*	10
	96.3%	100.0%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	96.3%	*	100.0%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	11,437	7,546	3,891	4,943	2,595	2,975	519	11	36	358	11,433	2,393	7,166
	95.9%	95.9%	95.9%	96.5%	93.8%	96.7%	96.6%	84.6%	100.0%	95.5%	95.9%	97.0%	95.6%

#### 34-049-0240-04-2001

0 <b>48 O</b> 0 '8	510'25)1/1 /F51/	8											
	0 00	8 5 <b>⊡%</b>	I% 5⊡%	0/0%	0 050 0	0 <b>/- F5&amp;/</b> 0	II <b>- /5&amp;</b>	A50/C% 57 5//5&' 12 50 /E0 ' 1 05&\$%	0 %)/0 5&'' 1&\$/5&	67 0 '0 )' 8 0 )% 9 50 %	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;0-</b> 0 /00 1(2-	(&3⊮-0 4%5)&%)-	407 18000%
00000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
'0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 00	25	18	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	25	*	*
	92.6%	94.7%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92.6%	*	*
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	11,444	7,555	3,889	4,938	2,590	2,981	526	12	36	361	11,440	2,417	7,172
	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%	96.3%	93.6%	96.5%	96.3%	85.7%	100.0%	96.0%	95.7%	96.9%	95.4%
2 9 GG'( 4	40 '25)0/0 /F50/0	&											
								A50/C%		67	□ □ <b>#¢0/0</b> □		
								□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ /분□ '	0 %/0 5&''		0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 /00	(&3:/-0	<b>4</b> 1
	0 00	<b>8 5</b> ⊡%	I% 5%	0/0%	0 050 0	0 / <b>- F5&amp;/</b> 0	I <b>- /5&amp;</b>	1 05&\$%)	18\$/5&	9 5 %	1(2-	4%5)&%)-	<b>18</b> %
00000	377	204	173	269	*	41	46	*	*	17	38	22	49
	99.5%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%	*	97.6%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	95.7%	100.0%
'0 /- 0) <i>/</i> 0 0	814	420	394	602	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	862,483	440,454	422,029	409,367	143,886	232,143	43,237	870	2,467	30,513	115,366	97,779	451,706
	98.1%	98.2%	98.0%	98.2%	97.4%	98.4%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.9%	97.2%	98.9%	98.3%

20 9 GG'8	500'25)0/0/F50/0	&											
								A50/C%		67 a la \1	□ □ <b>#</b> ¢0/0□		
								□ 57 5//5&' D2 5□ /분□ '	] [] <b>%/</b> ] 5&''	67 □ '□ )' 8 □ )%	0 0 <b>#\$%&amp;</b> 0- 0 /00	(&3⊮-0	40 7
		<b>8 5</b> 1%	I% 5™	0/0%	0 050 0	0 / <b>- F5&amp;/</b> 0	I - /5&	1 05&\$%)	18\$/5&	9 5ī %	1(2-	4%5)&%)-	18:00%
<b>'</b> 0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	377	204	173	269	*	41	46	*	*	17	38	22	49
	99.5%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%	*	97.6%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	95.7%	100.0%
'0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	816	421	395	604	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%
'0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	865,899	442,244	423,655	409,886	143,909	233,943	44,248	884	2,498	30,531	115,351	101,855	453,882
	98.1%	98.1%	98.0%	98.2%	97.3%	98.3%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.8%	97.1%	98.8%	98.2%

## Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for PARCC assessments:

- •• Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- •• Level 2: Partially met expectations
- •• Level 3: Approached expectations
- •• Level 4: Met expectations
- •• Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (ELA and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

## 'N)5\$%WO 🛛

			(4				8	50% 50/0	-	
4% <b>C</b> %-	U	V	W	Х	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y
0 0 0 0	4.1%	14.0%	23.1%	48.8%	9.9%	3.3%	15.7%	23.1%	41.3%	16.5%
0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	4.1%	14.0%	23.1%	48.8%	9.9%	3.3%	15.7%	23.1%	41.3%	16.5%
0 050%	21.4%	17.8%	23.7%	33.6%	3.4%	14.5%	21.9%	25.8%	29.5%	8.2%

## 'N)5\$%WON%&\$%

							-					
				(40			8 500% 50/0 -					
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	W	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y	
8 51%	0 0 00 0 0 0 /- 0)/0 0 0 0 <b>50%</b>	5.3% 5.3% 24.6%	17.5% 17.5% 18.9%	21.1% 21.1% 23.8%	50.9% 50.9% 30.4%	5.3% 5.3% 2.3%	5.3% 5.3% 15.4%	10.5% 10.5% 21.3%	24.6% 24.6% 25.1%	45.6% 45.6% 29.6%	14.0% 14.0% 8.7%	
I% 5⊡%	0 0 00 0 0 0 /- 0)/0 0 0 050%	3.1% 3.1% 18.2%	10.9% 10.9% 16.8%	25.0% 25.0% 23.5%	46.9% 46.9% 37.0%	14.1% 14.1% 4.5%	1.6% 1.6% 13.5%	20.3% 20.3% 22.5%	21.9% 21.9% 26.6%	37.5% 37.5% 29.5%	18.8% 18.8% 7.8%	

## 'N)5\$%WC950/500000&/0'05003)0#&\$

				(4			8 500% 50/ <u>0</u> -				
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	W	Х	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y
0/0%	0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0	4.7%	12.8%	20.9%	52.3%	9.3%	2.3%	12.8%	22.1%	45.3%	17.4%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	4.7%	12.8%	20.9%	52.3%	9.3%	2.3%	12.8%	22.1%	45.3%	17.4%
	0 050%	13.2%	15.9%	25.2%	41.3%	4.4%	7.7%	16.8%	26.5%	37.8%	11.2%
0 050 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	o /- o)/o o	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	34.5%	21.6%	21.8%	21.0%	1.1%	28.7%	30.4%	23.7%	15.4%	1.8%
🛛 / <b>- F5&amp;/</b> 🛛	0 0 0 0 0 0	5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	41.2%	11.8%	11.8%
	0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	41.2%	11.8%	11.8%
	0 050%	30.2%	20.4%	23.2%	24.7%	1.5%	19.1%	28.0%	27.8%	22.0%	3.0%
I - /5&	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%
	0 050%	7.2%	9.8%	19.3%	52.1%	11.5%	3.5%	8.3%	17.2%	41.8%	29.2%
A50/C%0 57	5//5& <b>D2</b> 5: /Æ: '										
1 05&\$%)											
	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0.050%	14.4%	13.8%	33.1%	35.0%	3.8%	×	×	×	×	×
00 %)/05&'1		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 /- 0)/0 0	*	*	*	, *	*			05.00/	-	
	0.050%						19.4%	23.5%	25.8%	27.4%	3.8%
67 0 '0 )'8 0 )		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 0 0 0 0 0 /- 0)/0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	19.4%	16.7%	23.4%	35.8%	4.6%	14.6%	20.5%	24.7%	30.2%	10.0%

## 'N)5\$%X'O 🗉

			(4			8 500% 50/0 -					
4% <b>C%</b> -	U	٧	W	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y	
	1.8%	13.3%	26.5%	44.2%	14.2%	5.3%	7.1%	30.1%	49.6%	8.0%	
0 /- 0)/0 0	1.8%	13.3%	26.5%	44.2%	14.2%	5.3%	7.1%	30.1%	49.6%	8.0%	
0 050%	14.1%	20.1%	27.3%	31.0%	7.6%	15.8%	25.6%	27.2%	28.1%	3.4%	

## 'N)5\$%X'ON%&\$%

			( 40					<b>8 500% 50/0 -</b>				
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	Ŵ	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y	
8 50%	0 0 00 0 0	1.4%	13.9%	27.8%	47.2%	9.7%	6.9%	6.9%	27.8%	47.2%	11.1%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	1.4%	13.9%	27.8%	47.2%	9.7%	6.9%	6.9%	27.8%	47.2%	11.1%	
	0 0 <b>50%</b>	17.1%	21.9%	27.5%	28.1%	5.5%	16.6%	25.0%	26.5%	28.4%	3.5%	
I% 5⊪%	0 0 0 0 0	2.4%	12.2%	24.4%	39.0%	22.0%	2.4%	7.3%	34.1%	53.7%	2.4%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	2.4%	12.2%	24.4%	39.0%	22.0%	2.4%	7.3%	34.1%	53.7%	2.4%	
	0 050%	10.9%	18.2%	27.2%	33.9%	9.8%	15.0%	26.1%	27.9%	27.7%	3.3%	

## 'N)5\$%X'C9 50 /500 00&/0 '0 50 0 3)0 #&\$

				(4				8	50% 51/	-	
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	W	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y
0/0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	1.2%	12.2%	25.6%	47.6%	13.4%	4.9%	4.9%	31.7%	51.2%	7.3%
	0 /- 0)/0 0	1.2%	12.2%	25.6%	47.6%	13.4%	4.9%	4.9%	31.7%	51.2%	7.3%
	0 050%	8.4%	16.1%	27.5%	38.0%	10.0%	8.8%	19.7%	29.6%	37.4%	4.4%
0 050 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	25.1%	27.5%	26.9%	18.2%	2.3%	31.1%	35.0%	22.3%	11.1%	0.5%
0 /- F5&/0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	0.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%
	0 050%	18.8%	24.4%	29.1%	24.2%	3.5%	20.6%	32.8%	27.4%	18.3%	1.0%
II - /5&	0 0 0 0 0 0	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	53.3%	20.0%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	53.3%	20.0%
	0 050%	4.6%	8.4%	18.9%	44.5%	23.6%	3.9%	10.1%	20.3%	50.0%	15.7%
A50/C%0 57	5//5& <b>D2</b> 5://#2:'										
1 05&\$%)											
	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 / <b>-</b> 0)/0 0	7.00/	*			*	*	*	*	*	*
0 0 00 /0 <b>C 01</b> /0	0.050%	7.8%	16.3%	28.4%	36.9%	10.6%					-
0 0 %)/0 5&'18		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 0 <b>0</b> 0 0 0 0 /- 0)/0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	*	*	*	*	*	21.7%	33.6%	26.8%	15.6%	2.4%
67 0 '0 )'8 0 )'							//	00.070	_0.070		/0
	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 /- 0)/0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	12.9%	19.7%	25.6%	32.3%	9.6%	15.1%	24.2%	26.5%	30.2%	4.1%

## 

				(4)			8 500% 50/0 -					
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	٧	W	Х	Y	U	٧	W	X	Y	
12	0 0 0 0 0	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	
	0 0 <b>50%</b>	45.2%	28.7%	15.6%	9.1%	1.4%	41.4%	33.0%	15.5%	9.3%	0.8%	
A: &C(2	0 0 0 0 0	1.0%	8.9%	27.7%	46.5%	15.8%	1.0%	6.9%	29.7%	53.5%	8.9%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	1.0%	8.9%	27.7%	46.5%	15.8%	1.0%	6.9%	29.7%	53.5%	8.9%	
	0 050%	9.1%	18.7%	29.2%	34.4%	8.6%	11.8%	24.4%	29.0%	31.0%	3.8%	

## 'N)5\$%XC(00&01/0500K0/-5\$C5&153%\$

			(4			8 500% 50/0 -				
4% <b>C%</b> -	U	U V W X Y					٧	W	Х	Y
I)%/39%\$#0%\$'2)/0%4#&0										
	5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%
0 /- 0)/0 0	5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%
0 050%	20.8%	25.9%	28.8%	21.8%	2.7%	23.8%	33.1%	26.0%	16.3%	0.8%
A00'(0/3/J0%000000	1.1%	13.7%	22.1%	48.4%	14.7%	4.2%	8.4%	27.4%	50.5%	9.5%
ū /- 0)/0 o	1.1%	13.7%	22.1%	48.4%	14.7%	4.2%	8.4%	27.4%	50.5%	9.5%
0 050%	6.2%	13.3%	25.6%	41.6%	13.3%	6.5%	16.7%	28.5%	41.8%	6.4%

## 'N)5\$%Y'O 🗉

			(4			8 500% 50/0 -					
4% <b>C</b> %-	U	٧	W	Х	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y	
	3.5%	9.1%	38.5%	44.1%	4.9%	5.6%	14.7%	29.4%	45.5%	4.9%	
0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	3.5%	9.1%	38.5%	44.1%	4.9%	5.6%	14.7%	29.4%	45.5%	4.9%	
0 050%	14.0%	21.9%	28.5%	32.9%	2.7%	16.6%	24.7%	27.9%	26.3%	4.5%	

## 'N)5\$%Y'ON%&\$%

			(40				8 500% 50/0 -					
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	W	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y	
8 50%	0 0 0 0 0	6.7%	14.7%	38.7%	38.7%	1.3%	9.3%	13.3%	24.0%	49.3%	4.0%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	6.7%	14.7%	38.7%	38.7%	1.3%	9.3%	13.3%	24.0%	49.3%	4.0%	
	0 0 <b>50%</b>	17.2%	24.7%	29.1%	27.6%	1.5%	18.4%	24.6%	26.2%	26.1%	4.8%	
I% 5⊡%	0 0 00 0 0	0.0%	2.9%	38.2%	50.0%	8.8%	1.5%	16.2%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	0.0%	2.9%	38.2%	50.0%	8.8%	1.5%	16.2%	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%	
	0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	10.8%	19.0%	27.9%	38.4%	4.0%	14.7%	24.9%	29.6%	26.5%	4.3%	

## 'N)5\$%Y'C950/500000&/0'05003)0#&\$

				(4				8	50% 51/	-	
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	Ŵ	X	Y	U	V	W	Х	Y
0/0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	2.0%	10.9%	35.6%	46.5%	5.0%	5.9%	11.9%	31.7%	47.5%	3.0%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	2.0%	10.9%	35.6%	46.5%	5.0%	5.9%	11.9%	31.7%	47.5%	3.0%
	0 050%	7.4%	16.4%	29.6%	42.8%	3.8%	9.6%	19.7%	29.9%	35.1%	5.7%
0 050 0	0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	27.1%	31.2%	25.7%	15.5%	0.5%	32.4%	33.3%	23.4%	10.3%	0.6%
0 /- F5&/0	0 0 0 0 0 0	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	28.6%	0.0%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	28.6%	0.0%
	0 050%	19.2%	28.0%	29.7%	22.3%	0.8%	20.9%	31.0%	29.0%	17.6%	1.5%
0 - /5&	0 0 0 0 0	4.8%	0.0%	38.1%	47.6%	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	4.8%	57.1%	14.3%
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	4.8%	0.0%	38.1%	47.6%	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	4.8%	57.1%	14.3%
	0 050%	4.4%	9.1%	21.0%	55.3%	10.3%	4.2%	9.0%	19.3%	44.4%	23.1%
A5:/C%: 57	5//5&D250/E0'										
1 05&\$%)											
	0 0 0 0 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 <b>/- 0)/</b> 0 0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0.050%	9.4%	17.6%	27.7%	39.6%	5.7%	×	×	×	×	×
00 %)/05&'1		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		÷.	*	*	,	*	*	*	÷	*	*
	0 /- 0)/0 0 0 0 <b>5</b> 0%	*	*	*	*	*	19.6%	28.8%	27.5%	22.6%	1.5%
67 0 '0 )'8 0 )							13.0 /0	20.0 /0	21.J/0	22.0/0	1.3 /0
0100)00		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	o <b>/-</b> o) <b>/</b> 0 o	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	0 050%	11.5%	20.8%	28.4%	35.9%	3.4%	16.6%	24.1%	26.7%	27.6%	5.1%

## 'N)5\$%Y'O: :#\$%&:- '7 /::0'1( 2-

				(4)			8 500% 50/0 -					
	4% <b>C%</b> -	U	V	W	Х	Y	U	٧	W	Х	Y	
12	0 0 0 0 0	17.6%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	0.0%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	17.6%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	0.0%	
	0 0 <b>50%</b>	47.1%	30.5%	15.1%	7.0%	0.3%	42.6%	34.2%	15.3%	7.1%	0.8%	
A : & C(2	0 0 0 0 0	1.6%	7.9%	36.5%	49.2%	4.8%	1.6%	11.1%	31.0%	50.8%	5.6%	
	0 /- 0)/0 0	1.6%	7.9%	36.5%	49.2%	4.8%	1.6%	11.1%	31.0%	50.8%	5.6%	
	0 050%	8.8%	20.6%	30.6%	37.0%	3.1%	12.5%	23.3%	29.9%	29.3%	5.1%	

## 'N)5\$%YC(00&0/0500K0/-5\$C5&053%\$

			(4			8 500% 50/0 -				
4% <b>C%</b> -	U	U V W X Y					V	W	Х	Y
I)%/ <b>I</b> 9%\$#0%\$'2)/0%4#&0										
	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%
0 /- 0)/0 0	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%
0 050%	21.4%	29.0%	28.7%	20.2%	0.7%	24.7%	31.8%	27.0%	15.4%	1.1%
A00'(0/3/J0%000000	0.8%	9.6%	38.4%	45.6%	5.6%	3.2%	13.6%	30.4%	47.2%	5.6%
0 <b>/-</b> 0) <b>/</b> 0 0	0.8%	9.6%	38.4%	45.6%	5.6%	3.2%	13.6%	30.4%	47.2%	5.6%
0 050%	5.5%	13.8%	28.2%	47.5%	5.0%	7.2%	16.7%	28.9%	38.8%	8.4%

Millburn CCSD 24 Millburn Middle School 640 Freedom Way Lindenhurst, ILLINOIS 60046 GRADES - 678 Jake Jorgenson Email - jjorgenson@millburn24.net (847) 245-1600



Summative Designation - Commendable	EBF District Funding Tier - 2
Student Group - All Students	Financial capacity to meet expectations - 82.0 %
Title 1 Status -	State Senate District - 31
	State Representative District - 061

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, the Illinois State Board of Education has updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

# STUDENTS

						Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low	
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless
School	452	346	*	34	38	*	*	25	61	13	55	*
		76.5%	*	7.5%	8.4%	*	*	5.5%	13.5%	2.9%	12.2%	*
District	1,194	891	22	106	117	*	*	57	172	69	165	*
		74.6%	1.8%	8.9%	9.8%	*	*	4.8%	14.4%	5.8%	13.8%	*
State	2,001,529	961,211	336,956	523,950	101,548	2,091	5,591	70,182	289,903	233,348	988,686	39,266
		48.0%	16.8%	26.2%	5.1%	0.1%	0.3%	3.5%	14.5%	11.7%	49.4%	2.0%

Student Enrollment is based on Serving School.

Students With IEPs are those eligible to receive special education services. English Learners are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Low Income are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

Homeless students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

CHRONIC	CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM RATE											
						Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low	
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income	
School	5.9%	5.1%	*	8.8%	5.4%	*	*	9.1%	13.2%	60.0%	12.0%	
District	7.9%	6.3%	35.3%	8.9%	10.4%	*	*	15.1%	10.0%	27.1%	16.6%	
State	16.8%	13.2%	27.4%	17.6%	8.5%	14.4%	33.1%	18.2%	25.2%	14.6%	23.3%	

STUDENT	MOBILITY RA	TE											
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
School	3.9%	6.1%	1.7%	2.9%	*	9.5%	5.0%	*	*	3.8%	11.6%	7.1%	11.3%
District	4.7%	5.5%	3.8%	3.4%	13.6%	8.8%	6.6%	*	*	8.5%	9.1%	4.8%	11.4%
State	6.9%	7.3%	6.4%	4.6%	13.5%	6.7%	6.4%	9.2%	10.8%	8.0%	10.1%	9.3%	10.0%

# INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

	CHOOL DAYS		H GRADERS
Num	ber of Days	PASSIN	G ALGEBRA I
School	176	School	49.1%
District	176	District	48.6%
State	175	State	30.6%

STUDENT	-TO-STAFF RATI	OS				EALTH
	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator	WE	AND LLNESS per week)
School					School	5.0
District	17.9	*	8.5	170.6	District	5.0
State	19.0	19.3	11.1	180.6	State	3.0

AVERAGE	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)											
Grades	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	24.0	24.0	29.0	*	26.0	
District	25.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	21.0	28.0	24.0	24.0	29.0	*	23.0	
State	19.0	19.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	

TIME DEV	TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)												
	Mathematics				Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School	1	80	80	1	40	40	1	80	80	1	40	40	
District	75	80	80	23	40	40	120	80	80	23	40	40	
State	73	60	57	35	48	51	129	88	77	30	48	50	


TEACHER	EACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)												
Native Hawaiian Two or Total /Pacific American More Number Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races Unl										University			
					Black			1		Races	Unknown		
District	87	12.9%	87.1%	97.6%	*	2.4%	*	*	*	*	*		
State	128,999	23.3%	76.7%	83.2%	5.8%	6.2%	1.5%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.3%		


TEACHER	INFORMATIO	N (Continued)	
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above
School			
District	16.1	25.3%	74.7%
State	13.2	38.5%	61.0%

	RETENTION RATE TEACHER ATTENDANCE RATE			TEACHER	REVALUATION RATE	PRINCIPAL TURNOVER (Count)		
School	93.8%	School		School		School	1.0	
District	93.2%	District	74.1%	District	100.0%	District	2.0	
State	85.2%	State	70.2%	State	97.1%	State	2.0	

## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

#### AVERAGE TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2016-17				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 201	6-17		
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$13,610,901	68.6%	63.1%	Education	\$11,743,574	62.4%	71.6%
				<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$1,374,124	7.3%	7.1%
Other Local Funding	\$1,490,294	7.5%	5.0%	Transportation	\$960,271	5.1%	3.8%
				Debt Service	\$2,966,675	15.8%	9.5%
General State Aid	\$3,040,380	15.3%	17.6%	Tort	\$167,685	0.9%	1.2%
				Municipal Retirement/			
Other State Funding	\$1,471,146	7.4%	6.8%	Social Security	\$537,624	2.9%	2.1%
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$7,423	0.0%	0.7%
Federal Funding	\$229,730	1.2%	7.5%	Capital Projects	\$1,070,577	5.7%	4.0%
TOTAL	\$19,842,451			TOTAL	\$18,827,953		

#### OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS

OTTIERTING				
	2015 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2015 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2016-17 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2016-17 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$191,629	6.31	\$7,332	\$13,096
State	**	**	\$8,024	\$13,337

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

ELA PRO	FICIENCY												
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	225	79	146	168	*	14	28	*	*	12	6	0	17
	50.2%	35.6%	64.6%	49.4%	*	37.8%	73.7%	*	*	48.0%	9.5%	0.0%	28.3%
District	433	182	251	324	2	29	58	*	*	19	14	8	35
	51.5%	41.5%	62.6%	52.3%	18.2%	36.3%	67.4%	*	*	45.2%	12.1%	19.0%	31.3%
State	373,532	160,882	212,650	227,977	29,576	67,203	33,470	463	833	14,010	12,783	11,564	115,196
	36.7%	30.9%	42.8%	46.8%	17.4%	24.8%	65.6%	44.7%	29.2%	40.0%	8.9%	10.9%	22.1%

MATH PR	OFICIENCY												
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	238	107	131	185	*	13	24	*	*	14	7	0	17
	52.9%	48.0%	57.7%	54.1%	*	35.1%	63.2%	*	*	56.0%	11.1%	0.0%	28.3%
District	445	223	222	338	2	23	58	*	*	23	12	8	36
	52.9%	50.8%	55.2%	54.3%	18.2%	29.1%	67.4%	*	*	54.8%	10.4%	19.5%	32.4%
State	321,607	163,519	158,088	201,422	19,256	53,675	34,370	435	682	11,767	11,241	12,270	88,476
	31.5%	31.3%	31.7%	41.3%	11.4%	19.7%	66.0%	41.4%	23.6%	33.6%	7.9%	11.1%	16.9%

ISA PROF	ICIENCY												
	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	133	56	77	107	*	7	11	*	*	*	8	*	8
	79.6%	74.7%	83.7%	81.7%	*	70.0%	78.6%	*	*	*	44.4%	*	57.1%
District	239	113	126	183	*	16	27	*	*	10	17	*	16
	77.3%	73.9%	80.8%	78.9%	*	66.7%	77.1%	*	*	76.9%	45.9%	*	50.0%
State	215,229	106,179	109,050	129,557	17,623	43,209	16,338	269	503	7,730	10,024	4,347	72,825
	50.5%	48.9%	52.0%	62.2%	25.3%	38.0%	73.9%	59.5%	43.1%	54.7%	18.3%	13.4%	34.9%

MEAN EL	A GROWTH PE	RCENTIL	.E										
								Native					
								Hawaiian		Two or	Students		
	All							/Pacific	American	More	With	English	Low
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
School	52.8	52.5	53.1	52.7	51.2	51.4	59.9	*	28.0	46.4	41.8	52.5	54.8
District	54.8	54.5	55.1	54.8	46.8	51.6	60.5	*	28.0	51.6	45.8	53.1	55.7
State	50.0	47.5	52.5	51.8	44.5	48.7	57.4	52.4	49.8	50.0	43.5	48.1	47.5

## MEAN MATH GROWTH PERCENTILE

								Native					
	All							Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
School	62.6	61.6	63.7	63.0	48.3	61.8	64.4	*	78.0	59.4	53.1	63.9	60.6
District	58.5	57.4	59.6	58.4	40.1	57.4	61.5	*	78.0	59.2	47.7	57.2	55.7
State	50.0	49.0	51.0	51.6	44.5	48.9	58.0	50.3	48.5	49.6	44.0	47.6	47.5

EL Profic	iency on ACCE	SS				
	# ELS	# Tested	# Proficient	% Proficient	# Long Term EL	% Long Term EL
School	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	59	57	7	12.3%	*	*
State	210,124	207,307	18,810	9.1%	24,957	11.9%

ELA Parti	cipation							Native					
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	448	222	226	340	*	37	38	*	*	25	63	15	60
	99.6%	100.0%	99.1%	99.7%	*	97.4%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
District	840	439	401	620	11	80	86	*	*	42	116	42	112
	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	97.6%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.3%	97.7%	100.0%
State	1,017,260	520,123	497,137	486,626	169,503	271,186	51,039	1,036	2,854	35,016	142,919	106,440	521,722
	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%	98.3%	97.0%	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.8%	96.9%	98.7%	98.0%

Math Part	icipation												
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	450	223	227	342	*	37	38	*	*	25	63	15	60
	99.6%	100.0%	99.1%	99.7%	*	97.4%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
District	841	439	402	622	11	79	86	*	*	42	115	41	111
	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	97.5%	95.3%	99.1%
State	1,020,683	521,922	498,761	487,140	169,521	272,992	52,057	1,051	2,885	35,037	142,911	110,540	523,904
	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.2%	96.9%	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.7%	96.9%	98.6%	98.0%

#### 34-049-0240-04-2002

ISA Partic	ipation							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
School	167	75	92	131	*	10	14	*	*	*	18	*	14
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	*	100.0%	*	100.0%
District	309	153	156	232	*	24	35	*	*	13	37	*	32
	98.7%	100.0%	97.5%	98.7%	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%
State	426,608	217,043	209,565	208,207	66,876	113,680	22,099	452	1,165	14,129	52,325	32,340	206,136
	95.2%	94.9%	95.6%	96.5%	91.1%	95.3%	96.6%	95.6%	94.8%	95.1%	90.6%	94.4%	93.7%

SAT ELA	Participation							Native Hawaiian		Two or	Students		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	/Pacific Islander	American Indian	More Races	With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

SAT Math	Participation												
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	143,340	72,123	71,217	72,316	23,022	36,068	7,283	155	351	4,145	16,120	6,268	62,850
	97.9%	97.5%	98.3%	98.8%	95.4%	97.4%	99.5%	98.1%	97.5%	97.6%	95.7%	96.3%	96.6%

DLM-AA E	ELA Participatio	on											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	15	10	*	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	15	*	*
	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*	*
District	26	19	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	26	*	10
	96.3%	100.0%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	96.3%	*	100.0%
State	11,437	7,546	3,891	4,943	2,595	2,975	519	11	36	358	11,433	2,393	7,166
	95.9%	95.9%	95.9%	96.5%	93.8%	96.7%	96.6%	84.6%	100.0%	95.5%	95.9%	97.0%	95.6%

## 34-049-0240-04-2002

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Students With	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
School	15	10	*	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	15	*	*
	100.0%	100.0%	*	100.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*	*
District	25	18	*	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	25	*	*
	92.6%	94.7%	*	94.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92.6%	*	*
State	11,444	7,555	3,889	4,938	2,590	2,981	526	12	36	361	11,440	2,417	7,172
	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%	96.3%	93.6%	96.5%	96.3%	85.7%	100.0%	96.0%	95.7%	96.9%	95.4%

PARCC E	LA Participatio	n											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	433	212	221	329	*	36	36	*	*	25	48	11	53
	99.5%	100.0%	99.1%	99.7%	*	97.3%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
District	814	420	394	602	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%
State	862,483	440,454	422,029	409,367	143,886	232,143	43,237	870	2,467	30,513	115,366	97,779	451,706
	98.1%	98.2%	98.0%	98.2%	97.4%	98.4%	98.9%	97.5%	98.0%	97.9%	97.2%	98.9%	98.3%

PARCC M	lath Participatio	on											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	435	213	222	331	*	36	36	*	*	25	48	11	53
	99.5%	100.0%	99.1%	99.7%	*	97.3%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
District	816	421	395	604	10	77	82	*	*	42	90	33	102
	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	98.9%	97.1%	100.0%
State	865,899	442,244	423,655	409,886	143,909	233,943	44,248	884	2,498	30,531	115,351	101,855	453,882
	98.1%	98.1%	98.0%	98.2%	97.3%	98.3%	98.9%	97.2%	97.9%	97.8%	97.1%	98.8%	98.2%

## Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for PARCC assessments:

- •• Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- •• Level 3: Approached expectations
- •• Level 4: Met expectations
- •• Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (ELA and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

## Grade 6 - All

			ELA				М	athematio	S	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
School	6.9%	19.2%	24.6%	46.2%	3.1%	7.7%	6.9%	30.8%	44.6%	10.0%
District	7.6%	19.7%	24.2%	45.5%	3.0%	8.3%	7.6%	30.3%	43.9%	9.8%
State	12.5%	23.4%	30.1%	29.4%	4.7%	15.6%	28.8%	28.7%	23.3%	3.6%

## Grade 6 - Gender

				ELA				М	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	School	12.9%	24.2%	29.0%	33.9%	0.0%	11.3%	4.8%	33.9%	41.9%	8.1%
	District	14.1%	25.0%	28.1%	32.8%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	32.8%	40.6%	7.8%
	State	15.8%	26.7%	30.1%	24.6%	2.8%	17.2%	28.6%	27.6%	22.7%	3.8%
Female	School	1.5%	14.7%	20.6%	57.4%	5.9%	4.4%	8.8%	27.9%	47.1%	11.8%
	District	1.5%	14.7%	20.6%	57.4%	5.9%	4.4%	8.8%	27.9%	47.1%	11.8%
	State	9.0%	20.1%	30.0%	34.3%	6.6%	14.0%	28.9%	29.8%	23.9%	3.3%

## Grade 6 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA				М	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	School	7.1%	19.4%	24.5%	44.9%	4.1%	7.1%	7.1%	30.6%	49.0%	6.1%
	District	8.0%	20.0%	24.0%	44.0%	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	30.0%	48.0%	6.0%
	State	6.8%	17.3%	31.2%	38.2%	6.5%	9.1%	23.1%	31.8%	31.6%	4.4%
Black	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	24.8%	34.1%	26.9%	13.4%	0.8%	31.6%	38.7%	21.5%	7.8%	0.4%
Hispanic	School	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%
	District	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%
	State	16.6%	30.3%	31.6%	20.0%	1.6%	19.5%	35.9%	29.0%	14.7%	0.9%
Asian	School	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	81.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%
	District	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	81.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%
	State	3.7%	9.4%	21.9%	48.4%	16.5%	3.5%	11.0%	22.0%	43.9%	19.5%
Native Haw	aiian/Pacific										
Islander											
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	10.1%	18.8%	26.1%	38.4%	6.5%	×	×	×	×	×
American lı		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	School	÷	*	*	,	÷	*	*	,	*	*
	District State	*	*	*	*	*	16.2%	36.7%	28.2%	17.8%	1.1%
Two or Mor							10.27	30.7 %	20.2%	17.0%	1.170
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	11.2%	21.5%	30.2%	31.2%	5.9%	15.8%	27.8%	28.1%	23.2%	5.0%

## Grade 6 - Students with IEPs

				ELA				М	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	School	58.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	0.0%
	District	57.1%	35.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%
	State	43.1%	35.3%	15.4%	5.7%	0.5%	46.3%	36.1%	12.4%	4.6%	0.6%
Non-IEP	School	1.7%	17.8%	27.1%	50.8%	2.5%	3.4%	5.9%	31.4%	48.3%	11.0%
	District	1.7%	17.8%	27.1%	50.8%	2.5%	3.4%	5.9%	31.4%	48.3%	11.0%
	State	7.7%	21.6%	32.4%	33.0%	5.3%	10.9%	27.6%	31.2%	26.2%	4.0%

## Grade 6 - Economically Disadvantaged

				ELA				М	athematio	cs					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5				
Free/Reduce	d Price Lunch														
	School District State	15.0% 15.0% 19.3%	20.0% 20.0% 31.2%	40.0% 40.0% 30.3%	25.0% 25.0% 18.0%	0.0% 0.0% 1.3%	20.0% 20.0% 23.6%	15.0% 15.0% 36.8%	40.0% 40.0% 26.4%	25.0% 25.0% 12.4%	0.0% 0.0% 0.8%				
Not Eligible	School District State	5.5% 6.3% 4.9%	19.1% 19.6% 14.9%	21.8% 21.4% 29.9%	50.0% 49.1% 41.9%	3.6% 3.6% 8.3%	5.5% 6.3% 6.9%	5.5% 6.3% 19.9%	29.1% 28.6% 31.2%	48.2% 47.3% 35.4%	11.8% 11.6% 6.6%				

## Grade 7 - All

	_									
			ELA				М	athematio	s	
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
School	6.6%	11.7%	21.9%	38.7%	21.2%	2.9%	13.7%	28.1%	43.9%	11.5%
District	6.6%	11.7%	21.9%	38.7%	21.2%	2.9%	13.7%	28.1%	43.9%	11.5%
State	16.5%	18.2%	25.5%	29.1%	10.7%	11.3%	26.9%	31.1%	26.9%	3.8%

## Grade 7 - Gender

Orader	Ochaci										
				ELA				М	athematic	cs	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	School District State	6.7% 6.7% 21.5%	16.0% 16.0% 21.3%	30.7% 30.7% 25.8%	34.7% 34.7% 24.7%	12.0% 12.0% 6.7%	5.3% 5.3% 13.1%	15.8% 15.8% 27.5%	23.7% 23.7% 29.9%	47.4% 47.4% 25.6%	7.9% 7.9% 3.9%
Female	School District State	6.5% 6.5% 11.1%	6.5% 6.5% 15.0%	11.3% 11.3% 25.1%	43.5% 43.5% 33.8%	32.3% 32.3% 15.0%	0.0% 0.0% 9.5%	11.1% 11.1% 26.3%	33.3% 33.3% 32.4%	39.7% 39.7% 28.2%	15.9% 15.9% 3.6%

## Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background

				ELA	_			М	athematio	s	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
White	School	5.9%	9.9%	22.8%	39.6%	21.8%	1.0%	12.6%	28.2%	48.5%	9.7%
	District	5.9%	9.9%	22.8%	39.6%	21.8%	1.0%	12.6%	28.2%	48.5%	9.7%
	State	9.9%	14.4%	25.7%	35.8%	14.2%	6.4%	20.3%	33.2%	35.4%	4.7%
Black	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	31.3%	25.9%	24.5%	15.7%	2.7%	23.8%	40.9%	25.3%	9.5%	0.4%
Hispanic	School	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%
	District	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%
	State	21.8%	22.7%	27.4%	23.1%	5.0%	14.4%	33.8%	32.7%	18.1%	1.0%
Asian	School	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%
	District	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%
	State	5.0%	6.9%	16.8%	39.7%	31.6%	2.9%	8.8%	21.9%	47.4%	18.9%
Native Haw	aiian/Pacific										
Islander											
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	- ~~	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	7.3%	16.5%	20.7%	34.1%	21.3%	*	*	*	*	*
American lı		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	School District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	*	*	*	*	*	12.6%	30.3%	32.9%	22.1%	2.1%
Two or Mor							12.0/0	50.570	JZ.J /0	22.1/0	2.1/0
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	State	15.0%	18.6%	24.8%	29.3%	12.3%	11.2%	28.0%	29.5%	26.4%	5.0%

## Grade 7 - Students with IEPs

				ELA			Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
IEP	School	38.9%	38.9%	16.7%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%	55.6%	5.6%	22.2%	0.0%	
	District	38.9%	38.9%	16.7%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%	55.6%	5.6%	22.2%	0.0%	
	State	53.8%	25.6%	14.2%	5.6%	0.8%	40.6%	40.4%	14.1%	4.5%	0.4%	
Non-IEP	School	1.7%	7.6%	22.7%	43.7%	24.4%	0.8%	7.4%	31.4%	47.1%	13.2%	
	District	1.7%	7.6%	22.7%	43.7%	24.4%	0.8%	7.4%	31.4%	47.1%	13.2%	
	State	10.7%	17.1%	27.2%	32.7%	12.2%	6.9%	24.9%	33.7%	30.3%	4.3%	

## Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged

			ELA					Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
Free/Reduce	d Price Lunch												
	School	15.8%	10.5%	36.8%	21.1%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	15.8%	26.3%		
	District	15.8%	10.5%	36.8%	21.1%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	15.8%	26.3%		
	State	24.9%	23.9%	26.7%	20.4%	4.2%	17.5%	36.2%	30.5%	14.9%	0.9%		
Not Eligible	School	5.1%	11.9%	19.5%	41.5%	22.0%	2.5%	10.8%	29.2%	48.3%	9.2%		
-	District	5.1%	11.9%	19.5%	41.5%	22.0%	2.5%	10.8%	29.2%	48.3%	9.2%		
	State	7.7%	12.4%	24.2%	38.2%	17.5%	5.0%	17.3%	31.7%	39.3%	6.7%		

## Grade 8 - All

			ELA			Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
School	9.6%	15.7%	28.3%	41.0%	5.4%	8.4%	11.4%	25.9%	48.2%	6.0%	
District	10.1%	16.1%	28.0%	40.5%	5.4%	8.9%	11.9%	25.6%	47.6%	6.0%	
State	18.4%	19.5%	25.6%	30.1%	6.3%	24.1%	22.7%	22.8%	26.1%	4.4%	

## Grade 8 - Gender

	Ochaci												
			ELA					Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
Male	School District State	18.7% 19.5% 24.3%	20.0% 20.8% 22.1%	32.0% 31.2% 25.2%	28.0% 27.3% 24.6%	1.3% 1.3% 3.9%	13.3% 14.3% 27.1%	13.3% 14.3% 22.6%	28.0% 27.3% 21.3%	38.7% 37.7% 24.4%	6.7% 6.5% 4.6%		
Female	School District State	2.2% 2.2% 12.3%	12.1% 12.1% 16.8%	25.3% 25.3% 26.1%	51.6% 51.6% 36.0%	8.8% 8.8% 8.9%	4.4% 4.4% 20.9%	9.9% 9.9% 22.7%	24.2% 24.2% 24.3%	56.0% 56.0% 27.9%	5.5% 5.5% 4.2%		

## Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			ELA						Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5			
White	School	8.5%	14.6%	33.8%	39.2%	3.8%	6.9%	11.5%	26.9%	48.5%	6.2%			
	District	9.1%	15.2%	33.3%	38.6%	3.8%	7.6%	12.1%	26.5%	47.7%	6.1%			
	State	11.6%	16.1%	26.4%	37.6%	8.2%	15.4%	19.9%	25.4%	33.9%	5.4%			
Black	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	State	33.6%	26.5%	23.7%	14.8%	1.4%	45.5%	27.3%	16.8%	9.8%	0.5%			
Hispanic	School	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%			
	District	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%			
	State	24.4%	23.8%	26.6%	22.6%	2.6%	30.3%	27.5%	22.7%	18.1%	1.4%			
Asian	School	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%	35.7%	42.9%	14.3%			
	District	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%	35.7%	42.9%	14.3%			
	State	6.1%	8.8%	18.4%	44.9%	21.8%	6.7%	10.1%	17.9%	43.4%	22.0%			
Native Haw	aiian/Pacific													
Islander														
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	District		~	*			*	*	*	*	*			
	State	15.6%	13.8%	22.9%	33.9%	12.8%								
American Ir		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	School District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	State	*	*	*	*	*	30.0%	20.6%	23.4%	24.0%	2.0%			
Two or Mor							00.070	20.070	20.770	27.070	2.070			
	School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	State	17.9%	18.6%	25.5%	30.9%	7.2%	25.4%	22.1%	21.3%	26.5%	4.7%			

#### Grade 8 - Students with IEPs

				ELA			Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	School	61.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	61.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%
	District	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%
	State	57.6%	24.2%	12.5%	5.2%	0.4%	64.8%	21.9%	8.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Non-IEP	School	3.4%	15.5%	30.4%	44.6%	6.1%	2.0%	10.8%	27.7%	52.7%	6.8%
	District	3.4%	15.5%	30.4%	44.6%	6.1%	2.0%	10.8%	27.7%	52.7%	6.8%
	State	12.6%	18.8%	27.6%	33.8%	7.2%	18.0%	22.8%	24.9%	29.4%	5.0%

## Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

			ELA					Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
Free/Reduce	d Price Lunch												
	School District State	14.3% 14.3% 27.6%	42.9% 42.9% 24.7%	14.3% 14.3% 25.7%	21.4% 21.4% 19.8%	7.1% 7.1% 2.2%	14.3% 14.3% 35.7%	28.6% 28.6% 27.3%	28.6% 28.6% 20.8%	28.6% 28.6% 15.0%	0.0% 0.0% 1.2%		
Not Eligible	School District State	9.2% 9.7% 9.4%	13.2% 13.6% 14.4%	29.6% 29.2% 25.5%	42.8% 42.2% 40.4%	5.3% 5.2% 10.4%	7.9% 8.4% 12.6%	9.9% 10.4% 18.0%	25.7% 25.3% 24.7%	50.0% 49.4% 37.2%	6.6% 6.5% 7.6%		